

655 Fifteenth Street, NW, Suite 225 Washington, DC 20005 balljanlk.com

December 2, 2011

Karl Morell Of Counsel kmorell@balljanik.com

BY E-File

Cynthia Brown
Chief, Section of Administration
Surface Transportation Board
Office of Proceedings
395 E Street, SW
Washington, DC 20423

O 1 2 2011
First of Public Record

Re:

STB Finance Docket No. 35412, Middletown & New Jersey Railroad, LLC -- Lease and Operation Exemption -- Norfolk Southern Railway Company

Dear Ms. Brown:

Attached for e-filing is the Reply of Middletown & New Jersey Railroad, LLC to the Motion To Strike filed by United Transportation Union-New York State.

If you have any questions, please contact me.

oul Morelf

Sincerely,

Karl Morell Of Counsel

BEFORE THE

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

STB FINANCE DOCKET NO. 35412

MIDDLETOWN & NEW JERSEY RAILROAD, LLC
--LEASE AND OPERATION EXEMPTION-NORFOLK SOUTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY

REPLY TO UNITED TRANSPORTATION UNION-NEW YORK STATE'S MOTION TO STRIKE

KARL MORELL Of Counsel BALL JANIK LLP Suite 225 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 638-3307

Attorney for: MIDDLETOWN & NEW JERSEY RAILROAD, LLC.

Dated: December 2, 2011

BEFORE THE

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

STB FINANCE DOCKET NO. 35412

MIDDLETOWN & NEW JERSEY RAILROAD, LLC
--LEASE AND OPERATION EXEMPTION-NORFOLK SOUTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY

REPLY TO UNITED TRANSPORTATION UNION-NEW YORK STATE'S MOTION TO STRIKE

Middletown & New Jersey Railroad, LLC ("M&NJ"), hereby replies in opposition to the Motion To Strike filed with the Surface Transportation Board ("Board") by Samuel J. Nasca, for and on behalf of United Transportation Union-New York State ("UTU") on November 15, 2011 ("Motion").

BACKGROUND

By decision served September 23, 2011, in this proceeding, the Board denied UTU's request to have M&NJ's notice of exemption rejected or to have the exemption revoked. On October 13, 2011, UTU filed a Petition For Reconsideration ("Petition") to which M&NJ replied on October 26, 2011 ("Reply"). UTU now seeks to strike M&NJ's Reply

REPLY TO MOTION

UTU seeks to strike all or portions of the Reply on grounds that the Reply introduces new evidence. The evidence and argument submitted in the Reply were in direct response to the allegations made in the Petition and not as supplemental evidence and argument in support of its

Notice of Exemption. Throughout this proceeding, UTU has not introduced a shred of credible evidence in support of its contention that M&NJ was not a common carrier in August 2010. Instead, UTU relies solely on unsubstantiated and patently false allegations. To refute these allegations, M&NJ has submitted overwhelming evidence of the extensive operations performed by M&NJ prior to the filing of its Notice of Exemption in this proceeding. For example, in its February 22, 2011, reply, M&NJ submitted industry standard interchange reports demonstrating numerous interchanges of loaded cars between M&NJ and Norfolk Southern Railway Company ("NS") for the 17 month period after March, 2009. In the Petition, UTU attempted to belittle these reports alleging that they only reflect empty cars coming to M&NJ tracks for storage. In light of this baseless allegation, M&NJ submitted additional evidence demonstrating that M&NJ was a fully operational railroad prior to the filing of its Notice of Exemption.

UTU also seeks to have the Verified Statement of Mr. Alfred Sauer stricken on grounds that Mr. Sauer does not have personal knowledge of the alleged facts. Mr. Sauer is the Vice President of M&NJ and, as an officer of M&NJ, has full knowledge of its day to day operations. The records attached to his verified statement are kept in the ordinary course of business. Moreover, the Railroad Injury reports were made under penalty of perjury and filed with the Federal Railroad Administration.

Finally, UTU makes the preposterous argument that the interchange information may not indicate any active train movements by M&NJ but simply NS movement of cars on and off the M&NJ tracks. An interchange report is developed by Railinc only where an actual, physical interchange takes place between two common carriers by railroad and not where one railroad traverses the tracks of another railroad.

¹ UTU erroneously claims that there is no indication of when the "Time Reports" were prepared. Each weekly Report is dated.

CONCLUSION

M&NJ respectfully urges the Board to deny the Motion. The evidence introduced in M&NJ's reply was in direct response to the totally unsubstantiated and false allegations contained in the Petition.

Respectfully submitted,

KARL MORELL

Of Counsel

BALL JANIK LLP

Suite 225

655 Fifteenth Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20005

(202) 638-3307

Attorney for:

MIDDLETOWN & NEW JERSEY RAILROAD,

LLC

Dated: December 2, 2011

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 2nd day of December, 2011, I have caused a copy of the foregoing Reply to be served on all parties of record.

Karl Morell